LOT 28 SOUTH CAMPUS, UBC

PROPOSED SITE **UBC South Campus**

CONTEXT PLAN

CHARACTER SKETCH

Residential Development

PROJECTS STATISTICS

2 APARTMENT BUILDING - 4 STOREY RESIDENTIAL SITUATED OVER 1 LEVEL UNDERGROUND PARKING.

MUNICIPAL ADDRESS:

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

MC2-MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL

SITE AREA: 54,896 SQ, FT, (0,510 HA)

ALLOWABLE: 4 STOREY, 14.0 METERS HT.

PROPOSED: 4 STOREY, 14.0 METERS HT.

DENSITY:

Building	1	(North
Unit Type		

Linit	Type	Unit Area		Unit Distribution	per Floor Level		Total Units	Total Area	Unit Mix
OIM	Туре	(Sq.Ft.) Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4		TOTAL OFFIS	(Sq.Ft.)	OHOMX			
A	1 Bedroom	678	0	1	1	1	3	2,028	4.8%
В	1 Bedroom	641	2.	2	2	2	. 8	5,125	12.7%
C	2 Bedroom	815	4.	5	5	5	19	15,477	30.2%
C1	2 Bedroom	815	2	2	2	2	8	6,520	12.7%
D	2 Bedroom	888	-1	1	1	1	4	3,552	6.3%
D1	2 Bedroom	881	1	4	1	1	4	3,524	6.3%
D3	2 Bedroom	909	1	1	1	1	4	3,636	6.3%
E	3 Bedroom	1,091	2	2	2	2	8	8,728	12.7%
F	2 Bed + Den	991	-1	1	1	1	4	3,964	6.3%
G	3 Bedroom	1,014	1	0	0	0	1	1,014	1.6%
Unit	s per Floor		15	16	16	16	63 Units	53,568	100.0%
Unit	Area per Floor		13,035	13,511	13,511	13,511		53,568 Tota	I Unit NFA
Common Area per Floor		n Area per Floor 2,079 1,989 1,989 1,989		0 400 T-1-	Common and Lobby Area				
Lob	by		360				1	8,406 Tota	Common and Lobby Area
Gro	ss Floor Area		15,474	15,500	15,500	15,500		61,974 Tota	al Building GFA
Building Efficiency		84.2%	87.2%	87.2%	87.2%		86.4% Building Efficiency		

Building 2 (South)

Ideal	Туре	Unit Area		Unit Distribution	per Floor Level		Total Units	Total Area	Unit Mix	
Onic	Type	(Sq.Ft.)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	. Total Units	(Sq.Ft.)	Unit Mix	
A1	1 Bedroom	576	1	0	0	0	1	576	2.1%	
B1	1 Bedroom	698	2	2	2	2	8	5,584	16.7%	
С	2 Bedroom	815	3	3	3	3	12	9,775	25.0%	
C1	2 Bedroom	815	1	7	1	1	- 4	3,260	8.3%	
C2	2 Bedroom	816	0	1	1	1	3	2,448	6.3%	
D	2 Bedroom	881	1	1	- 1	1	4	3,525	8.3%	
D2	2 Bedroom	907	1	1	1	1	4	3,628	8.3%	
D4	2 Bedroom	850	1	1	1	1	4	3,400	B.3%	
E	3 Bedroom	1,091	1	1	1	1	4	4,364	8.3%	
E1	3 Bedroom	1,093	1	1	- 1	-1	4	4,372	8.3%	
Tota	ıl		12	12	12.	12	48 Units	40,932	100.0%	
Unit	Area per Floor (sf)	10,053	10,293	10,293	10,293		40,932	Total Unit NFA	
	nmon Area per F		1,494	1,363	1,363	1,363	3 5,813 Total Common		Total Common and Labbor Assa	
	by (sf)		230						Total Common and Lobby Area	
Gros	ss Floor Area (s	f)	11,777	11,656	11,656	11,656		46,745	Total Building GFA	
Buik	ding Efficiency		85.4%	88.3%	88.3%	88.3%		87.6%	Building Efficiency	

Project Unit Count 94,500.2 Sq.Ft. Project Unit Area **Project Site Coverage** 27,251.0 Sq.Ft.

108,719.0 Sq.Ft. **Project Gross Floor Area Project Efficiency**

49.64% Coverage

50% Coverage Allowed

FSR Calculation

Project Gross Floor Area (sf.ft.)		Areas Deducte	ed from the FSR		Total FSR Area Deductions	Net Area used for FSR Calculation	Site Area	F	SR
108.719.0 Sq.Ft.	Bidg 1 Lobby	Bldg 2 Lobby	40 Sq.Ft/Unit	Elev, Shaft	117	1000000000	Lagrangia (L.)	Proposed	Allowed
	Bidy I Looply	, Loop Blog L Loop	for Storage Area Over 3	5,546.0	103,173.0	54,896.0		1.000	
	360	230	4440	516	1000	1 2 2	(0.510 ha)	1.879	1.880

Residence Parking	Total Number of Units	Residential Stalls Required	Residential Stalls Provided	Underground Visitor Stalls	Surface Stalls Provided	Residential Bike Storage Reg'd	Bike Storage Provided	Surface Visitor Bikes Required	Surface Visitor Provided
1.0 Car/Unit	111	111	115	None Provided		1.5 Storage Units/Unit	167	1-1	
1.0 Californi	171	545	110	Note Flowded		166.5	107		

PROJECT DIRECTORY:

DRAWING LIST

A0.0	COVER/PROJECT STATS
A0.1	CHARACTER SKETCH
A0.2	CHARACTER SKETCH
A0,3	SKETCH UP 3D MASSING
A0.4	SKETCH UP 3D MASSING
A0.5	SKETCH UP 3D MASSING
A0.5	DESIGN RATIONALE
A1.0	SITE PLAN
A1.1	SITE PLAN- LEVEL 1
A2.0	PARKING PLAN
A3.0	BUILDING 1 - LEVEL 1
A3.1	BUILDING 1 - LEVEL 2,3 AND 4
A3.2	BUILDING 1 - ROOF PLAN
A3.3	BUILDING 2 - LEVEL 1
A3.4	BUILDING 2 - LEVEL 2,3 AND 4
A3.5	BUILDING 2 - ROOF PLAN
A4:0a	MATERIAL SCHEDULE - BUILDING 1
A4.0B	MATERIAL SCHEDULE - BUILDING 2
A4.01	STREETSCAPES
A4.1	BUILDING 1 - ELEVATIONS
A4.2	BUILDING 1 - ELEVATIONS
A4.3	BUILDING 2 - ELEVATIONS
A4.4	BUILDING 2 - ELEVATIONS
A5.0	CROSS SECTIONS
A5 1	CROSS SECTIONS

ROSITCH HEMPHILL **ASSOCIATES**

ARCHITECTS

PILKINGTON HOUSE 10 - 120 POWELL STREET VANCOUVER, B.C. CANADA V G A I G I TEL. (604) 669-6002 FAX: (604) 669-1091

PRE-DPA
DP APPLICATION



LOT 28 WESBROOK MALL & GRAY AVENUE SOUTH CAMPUS, UBC

COVER PROJECT STATS

DATABASE: Ø722-3.Ø.dwg

PLOT FACTOR: 1-1 SCALE: NTS

CHECKED: EBR PROJ. NO. 0924



LOT 28: DESIGN RATIONALE

Development Permit Application

OVERVIEW:

This project is one of two lots being advanced together by UBCPT as part of a combined expansion of the housing precinct south of Wesbrook Village, and consisting at this time of three buildings, two on Lot 28 and one on Lot 22 (submitted as a separate package).

Lot 28 is situated on the west side of Wesbrook Mall between Gray Avenue and Ross Drive. The project proposes two buildings, both fronting on Wesbrook Mall, over a single underground parkade. The project is to be rental, and constructed in two phases beginning with the north building.

ORIENTATION:

The site is long and narrow, running parallel to the north / south Wesbrook Mall which dictates the general layout of the buildings. This does provide good solar orientation to allow maximum daylight opportunity to both front and rear yards. Parking access is provided on the south from Ross Drive. The 'L' shape of each building frames a semi-private courtyard area on the west side which will contain outdoor common amenity areas suitable for afternoon and early evening activity in the western light.

ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN:

Our approach to the architecture is to provide a project which tends toward a more traditional character. We have chosen a relatively simple approach to the massing, breaking the facade into a rhythm of larger strong masonry sections set apart by solid panels. The facades are further simplified through the use of a reduced number of balconies, reserving balcony locations for areas of architectural expression. Principal entries are emphasized by stronger vertical elements and use of heavy timber accents which tie together thematically with the smaller individual unit entries which face Wesbrook.

SUSTAINABILITY:

This project will be built to a minimum of REAP Silver, while looking to achieve REAP Gold. Further work to confirm the proposed REAP standing will be done prior to the full DPA submission.

VARIANCE:

This proposal conforms to the zoning with only one minor variance required. In order to preserve the live-ability and unit type of the west-most unit in Building 2, we request a side yard variance from 2.5m to 1.76m for a very short length of the property line.

Submitted by: Keith S. Hemphill, MAIBC

LANDSCAPE:

The landscape design intent for this project is to both complement the architectural style of the buildings and to create usable open space for the residents. The two buildings would have a unified streetscape treatment of low landscape walls clad in a complementary material with a rich layering of soft landscape elements of trees, shrubs and groundcovers. The ground floor units would have access to generous exterior patios all the building units would have access to the exterior amenity courtyards.

The central courtyard has been designed to accommodate access for units of both buildings. The courtyard is entered from the street through an overhead arbour element. The courtyard would have a decorative metal fence and gate to articulate the transition from the public streetscape realm to the semi-private courtyard space. The space has been designed to telescope to the west to a common feature amenity patio/overlook space. The courtyard for north building has been designed to create a shared outdoor amenity open space. The unit patios for this courtyard are nestled into to the landscape and access the common lawn area through a small set of stairs. By raising the lawn area we have been able to eliminate landscape walls and to maximize the amount of green space. The courtyard for the south building also has a common outdoor amenity space. We have used trellis elements and a layered planting approach to help define and screen the common patios from the individual unit patios.

Submitted by: Michael Patterson



